



Ryan Hell <ryanbrooklyn2020@gmail.com>

Re: Clarification on council request history

Sean Esworthy <sean@wenatcheelaw.com>
To: Ryan Hell <ryanbrooklyn2020@gmail.com>

Fri, Jul 11, 2025 at 9:41 AM

It is still there, Ryan. This is part of the problem. The video is titled 20240704_171749.mp3. The threat to "bitch slap" you is at roughly 15:30 in the video. The video is in fact there and has been there and as far as I can see on the dropbox is still shared with you. I did not say anything about "not noticing a crime." We actually did take a moment to talk about how she threatened you which may be a crime or that their behavior did not seem consistent with people who thought you were actually going to kill them.

I did not say I had never done a felony harassment, or harassment case, and I do not recall you explaining anything about the technicals of harassment and if anything, it was the other way around as it has been in our e-mail correspondence. What you did talk about at some point, was tow bill rates needing to be registered with the DOL and other things about towing and perhaps contracts and I believe you had said that you had some experience in that field or related fields and seemed to assume that I should have some knowledge about towing and your beliefs about tow bills/practices/contracts as well, which I recall saying something expressing that I was not familiar with towing and related practices and really do not have much reason to.

I'll be clear, that I do not think you are acting maliciously. Memory is extremely imperfect. I do believe that you probably do actually believe your version of all these events. This is not to be insulting to you. I'll just say that there seems to be a pattern where you can certainly be cordial but can also be agitated in ways that are perhaps slightly out of the bounds of the norm. One issue is that I do not know what the cause of this is.

If you can, I would like for you to try to watch the video and pretend that the person in the video is not you, but someone else that you do not know. You can even assume that this person was not advised that there was a tow bill and were suddenly struck with the information. Just looking at the conversation that occurred, do you see anything that is perhaps out of unusual about that person's behavior in relation to the situation?

The issue between us seems, which I included in the prior e-mail, is that I do not think the video is edited. I'm not going to endlessly repeat this. I have given you my summation in that it looks like you were here with a Gina, got stuck in the area, tried to go the wrong way, were concerned about yourself and Gina, called 911, and 911 assisted you in a way that was probably unorthodox, and then they said there was a tow bill and some sort of argument occurred after that. I have no reason to believe that this is tied to Sally Bagshaw or Ed Murray, who to my understanding are former city officials from years past, and somehow have a shadow organization of people who are out to get you and there means of doing so is to get and take \$1,000 from you. If it makes a difference, I have no affiliation with either Sally Bagshaw or Ed Murray and cannot recall even ever having heard of them prior to your bringing them up.

I am not sure how this is tailored to you. Arguments happen all the time and sometimes people say things they regret. This time, some of the encounter is actually on video. Most of the time, it is just people saying what happened with no video as most crimes between individuals are not recorded. This actually gives us a chance to objectively show what happened as opposed to a 4 v 1 "he said-she said". However, the reality is, if I remain your attorney and the case goes to trial, I will have to contend with your behavior on the video as well.

I am not sure if you think I have more power in these circumstances than I actually do. I have no ability to make this go away. I am not the prosecutor. Like a tax preparer, I have no ability to change your taxes, income, debts, tax liability or whatever. I have to take in the data as it is and do the best I can with it with applicable tax codes. I do not have any special abilities and cannot make cases go away. I do not derive any joy out of you being prosecuted, and actually have to spend a fair amount of time responding to these e-mails, like I am this morning instead of actually doing work on cases. If I could simply make this case go away, I would.

If you want to sue them, go ahead. Then you could litigate these things to your heart's content. I am not here to stop you from doing any of that.

My only role here is to try and defend you against charges of felony harassment. I have gone through some potential defenses, but rather engage with me on that level, you want to change the topic to edited videos, a multi-agency plot to extort you for \$1,000 for towing without your permission, and other various topics.

If some organization with prosecuting authority is willing and able to prosecute Jeremiah for a crime, then that is great. If it happens, let me know. Until then, I still have to deal with this case.

I have gone through the various defenses to a harassment case - no threat was made at all (which at this point would be a 4v1 he-said, she-said); that the threat was not a "true threat" and was venting that would not be taken by a reasonable person as a serious threat; that they did not, judging by their actions and Jana's threat towards you, as well as some statements by them, actually feel threatened, a theory of self-defense/defense of property, or a combination of those. A potential addition, if you are willing, is an assessment by a psychological evaluation, which at this stage would be between you, the doctor, and I, and if it was not helpful to your case in either your actual defense or in mitigation if you were to be convicted. However, if useful to a jury at trial or a judge at sentencing, could be used in your defense.

This would allow a medical expert, if it pans out, to explain your subjective mental state and belief system to a jury to attempt to show that you do believe the things that you are reporting about the larger conspiracy involving Ed Murray or whomever, which could either attempt to justify the alleged threats as self-defense/defense of property or even maybe a some other avenue of defense based on what the doctor's findings are.

Again, my powers are limited. Thus far, the prosecutor's only offer has been for felonies and jail time. It might be possible to negotiate for something lesser, or even something like a disorderly conduct. However, you are in charge of whether you want to engage in plea bargaining or not and you have indicated that you are not.

If it is your plan to request new counsel on Monday, I would advise not arguing with the judge. For reference, there are certain people who go through multiple attorneys and have regular breakdowns in communication. The number of people who do this is not large relative to the population of all people charged with crimes, but it does happen and the judge is seeming to view this situation as perhaps falling into that category.

What we are having here could be classically construed as a breakdown in communication. That is our communications are not fruitful and not really going anywhere. This is the basis for the last disqualification. However, at some point, too many "breakdowns in communication" would seem to indicate that it is not something personal between you and the particular attorney, but just you.

It may be worthwhile to try one more time to get a new attorney and see if you get a result more in line with what you are searching for, but my level of confidence in that is relatively low. Nonetheless, if the judge asked, based off these communications that are going nowhere, I could certainly agree that there has been a breakdown.

From: Ryan Hell <ryanbrooklyn2020@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 10, 2025 5:23 PM
To: Sean Esworthy <sean@wenatcheelaw.com>
Subject: Re: Clarification on council request history

Regarding your prior experience and my declaration; when we talked you said you didn't notice any crime as Jana as she is named, made threats to slap the shit out of me on the video which has now been removed from what I have.

I asked if you heard that and reminded it. You said yes and didn't seem interested. I asked what your background was if you ever dealt with a felony harassment case or were familiar with the legal constructs of the law which outline requirements and you. Said vaguely and I said the requirement is that the victim is genuinely and legitimately afraid and that conduct of hurling insults and threats of bodily harm to me as Jana clearly did in the video we watched at your office; that's not conducive to someone who is afraid of someone: to behave in such a way as JANA was showed she was not in any fear. That's when I explored your experience and knowledge of the relevant law with a couple preliminary questions and you told me you mostly do dui and have never dealt with a felony harassment. That's all I was conveying and it isn't to insult anyone.

Sean I haven't done anything unreasonable here and you know this. This alleged crime has been tailored to stick to me even though I never committed it. It failed to provide the video proof. And they continue to shape things.

What do they want me to rot in jail for? Ed Murray! I didn't do anything wrong I simply talked about something which is illegal and horrible and they are retaliating to silence me. Other than that why are they railroading me for this.

And why is my passenger not listed in any court papers! I sense grave harm is coming her way and they hid her from the record to avoid a lawsuit.

Neither of us want to file a lawsuit. But they kidnapped me then assaulted me and they are trying to put me in prison for this. I am innocent absolutely innocent.

You have any suggestions? Or am I supposed to quietly go to a mock trial full of doctored evidence and lying officials who were engaged in a kidnapping scheme for Sally Bagshaw?

I would be willing to sign a gag order and not release records and move out of state if they will let me. I didn't know they

were this violently trying to harm me!?! How psychotic of them what wackos.

And Jeremiah's wife not working for Rivercom is not true. Perhaps now. I personally have witnessed her entering Rivercom. So was she fired from there and if so was it over this incident?

Jeremiah surely doesn't expect to be allowed to commit this crime unchecked does he? Because the FCC and homeland security are very interested in the incident since it obstructed life saving 911 service and involved them.

I just want to be done with this and move. What can I do

On Thu, Jul 10, 2025 at 5:03 PM Sean Esworthy <sean@wenatcheelaw.com> wrote:

They sent me the recording and I have uploaded it the Dropbox.

The State provided some other items as well, one which does confirm that Jeremiah Johnson is the operations manager.

There is a second public records request response which indicates that Jana Johnson does not work for RiverCom.

Your statement was something more along the lines that I was only a DUI attorney, and either explicitly or implicitly said that I did not do felony cases, which is not accurate. You talked throughout much of the video playback, and I certainly may have mentioned doing DUI cases at some point, but I really do not see how that is neither here nor there. I have been on the felony conflict panel for years.

In order to be able to move forward on this case, unless there is actual, solid evidence of some larger conspiracy, am going to take the case as it is presented. I have already outlined this in a previous e-mail and until there is something that would be able to convince a jury otherwise, pursuing what I currently view as an outlandish defense is a lost cause.

A possible defense in a similar vein is that you believed this all to be the case, whether or not the facts are there to back it up. We would probably need an expert witness to pursue that, however.

Bob can certainly reach out to Gina if she has a phone number.

From: Ryan Hell <ryanbrooklyn2020@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, July 10, 2025 2:29 PM

To: Sean Esworthy <sean@wenatcheelaw.com>

Subject: Re: Clarification on council request history

Ok perfect. I assume the judge is going to want that at the next hearing: I anticipate being called a trouble maker in part for that detail in which I need prof asserting my version of events, as well as the episode in your office reviewing videos where you told me you were a DUI lawyer. I never intent that as an insult I simply want to establish for the record that they are inadequately equipping me for a trial. I doubt you will admit it but if you do that is fantastic too and would surprise me.

I don't want to put anyone in the hot seat. Or harms ways I told you already these bastards robbed my wife at gun point onnpac way and dash point road near redondo beach, in uniform as tsa which is a federal officer. Now this woman who is disabled and protected they deny her an ambulance. Serious shit. They lie and try to take me and.bury

me after they did all this. Come on. Sally Bagshaw.

Anyway I crashed out in the court room and I called

It what DoJ called it which is kidnapping and extortion. I'm not violent and I was tolerant even as they punched me in the face for no reason, hurled insults, vandalized my truck. Now they are scared of losing their operations manager and their license which is how it generally goes when you commit brazen crimes like those.

If they want to negotiate a cease fire then let's talk. I'm looking for this to be over so I can move on. But they seemed determined to bury me for many years over these fictitious claims

On Thu, Jul 10, 2025 at 2:20 PM Sean Esworthy <sean@wenatcheelaw.com> wrote:

We will put in a request for the recording/transcript for whatever they have from the 3/5/25 where Mr. Titus was removed.

From: Ryan Hell <ryanbrooklyn2020@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, July 10, 2025 12:03 PM

To: Sean Esworthy <sean@wenatcheelaw.com>

Subject: Clarification on council request history

Sean

This question is about the judges uproar last hearing regarding the prior dismissal of my council. I firmly am aware 110% that I have not ever in my LIFE fired any attorney nor seemed to have any attorney removed or reassigned. Ever. So far.

The judges interpretation of prior hearings or perhaps his interpretation of notes, is that I had fired the last attorney, Justin Titus. While Mr Titus did in fact intentionally cause grave harm to my defense while he and a prior judge both reassured me on the record that the 911 calls I made for Gina's medical emergency were being saved, even after I informed them the duration of 911 audio is only 90 days so time is limited; they both confirmed I can be assured they have the audio and it won't be deleted. I can pull that up in transcripts maybe later if needed myself.

However the question as to whether or not I fired Mr Titus is a simple one for me to remember due to the distinctly unusual sequence and response by this judge we seen last.

This dialogue was very close to something like this, and the hearing was for a failed appearance warrant quashing. I very calmly and politely informed the judge I am having communication problems with Mr Titus. I let him know after some 8 months (forget exactly how long but basically the duration of Mr Titus's representation of me) I had yet to speak to him regarding this matter. I've seen no evidence and I am concerned about the 911 call audio for the call I made. That judge had acknowledged my concern; the first of the kind ever in my life to be made; and he had politely asked if I wanted to fire Mr Titus.

I very vividly recall telling him no. The judge almost instantly turned to Mr Titus and asked him "ok, Mr Titus what do you think? Can you handle Mr hells case / request or do you think he should seek new counsel?" Mr Titus said "oh I think he should seek new counsel". The judge then said ok I'll put in the order to reassign counsel. The judge possibly moved on to explain that speedy trial was now askew due to counsel etc and requested I sign a waiver and I reluctantly would agree if that was even formally ascertained.

I know you can get that transcript much faster than I can. Or say I might hope.

If not let me know and I will file a records request.

This is key material for next Monday's hearing as the judge called me a liar on multiple issues for which I am not lying at all and I don't like it and it portrays me as a hostile witness, and it opens up approach for contempt of court, and it creates an impeachable aura about me which is not just extremely harmful in this trial, but it is extremely inaccurate.

I have never lied in any court room. This judge must surely know he is fabricating those allegations about me lying in court and I want to be prepared with the transcripts of the warrant quashing hearing where I let the judge know why

I missed court and how it is not possible to get my court dates from Justin Titus and the other issues of divulges and the judge jumped to asking if I wanted to fire Mr Titus. I know for a fact without any doubt that I did not say yes to that question. I need verification because the judge is trying to hold me in contempt on Monday, for a truth I told.

I