
Re: Please file for reconsideration on my preservation motion

Sean Esworthy <sean@wenatcheelaw.com>
To: Ryan Hell <ryanbrooklyn2020@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 27, 2025 at 3:22 PM

I will start with a specific discovery request to the state about her employment status with Rivercom, in addition to the prior order pertaining to Mr. Johnson, and see what they respond with.

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Ryan Hell <ryanbrooklyn2020@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2025 2:53:35 PM
To: Sean Esworthy <sean@wenatcheelaw.com>
Subject: Re: Please file for reconsideration on my preservation motion

I want to file a Brady motion on the matter of the female dispatcher or otherwise known as the spouse of Jeremiah, and also known in the court documents and police report as the female alleged victim. I must have confirmation of her status as an employee of rivercom in order to provide context. Simple as that. The arresting deputy already confirmed to me but the record oddly doesn't exist in the court documents everywhere and it harms my chance to prove wrong doing and expose the inaccuracies of the prosecution. This woman is believed to be the person fielding all calls contrary to the claim of several different dispatchers having fielded this call.

I appreciate your response and wholeheartedly apologize for all the added work but this is cornerstone piece of my defense.

On Fri, Jun 27, 2025 at 12:07 PM Sean Esworthy <sean@wenatcheelaw.com> wrote:

- 1) The state has been ordered to provide evidence about Jeremiah Johnson's employment already. I've asked the investigator to do a public records request on the wife. At this point, I do not know that she works or worked for Rivercom. I agree that this is relevant and worth pursuing. At this point, I have really no reason to suspect that she works for Rivercom beyond your assertion. If the records reveal something different, I will follow up.
- 2) I understand you are asserting the CAD calls have been altered. However, I do not see any signs of alteration. Nonetheless, the state has been ordered to provide the metadata for the 911 call.
- 3) If you want a new attorney, that is fine, but my guess it you are going to run into the same issues with anyone else. I'll go through some options here, because you seem to believe that I am required to file and sign whatever motions you want and to pursue whatever strategy you want, which is incorrect. If you want to pursue your own legal strategy and file your own motions, you may want to represent yourself. You can also ask for "stand-by counsel" where the attorney just helps you with your own case, but for most intents and purposes, you represent yourself. You will be held to the same standards and rules as an attorney in many respects. If you proceed to

trial as your own counsel, you will be expected to understand the court rules and rules of evidence as well. While I am sure most people would advise against anyone representing themselves, this is probably going to be the only way you can pursue your case in the way that you want and file whatever motions you want. I assume if you changed your mind at some point prior to trial/readiness, the court could appoint counsel.

- 4) No one else in the court had trouble hearing me. You are free to come to court in person if you wish. We have been asking for Zoom to save you the time and cost of traveling back and forth to Chelan County, which I know is a major burden. In terms of who is gaslighting who, you can look at your own e-mail from June 1, 2025 at 2:24 am which says under "Motion to Preserve Evidence and Address Potential Spoliation" paragraph 5 that one of the records are to preserve "Records confirming the employment status of the alleged victims as Rivercom 911 dispatchers, not private citizens or firefighters, to expose potential **bias** or misconduct." The bias piece is relevant to your case and again, the judge ordered the state to provide this information with respect to Jeremiah Johnson. If records or interviews lead to information that the other people, that could be presented to the court to obtain a further order with respect to discovery. Even if you had not brought it up, that is something that I would pursue on my own due to the fact that I believe it is relevant.
- 5) I think there can be a lot of favoritism in a small town for sure. I do not disagree with you there. I think there are signs/evidence of that in the video themselves. One of the police is very cordial with the 911 dispatcher.
- 6) Where we have a disagreement is that you seem to think the videos and 911 calls are edited whereas I do not. I am taking them at face value. You might even be correct about that the call was mishandled. I have already acknowledged that it is unusual that the response was to send an off-duty dispatcher to take you to his property and there is perhaps a civil claim to be filed there as well. Where we again diverge is that you think this was some sort of malicious trick to get a tow truck involved to try and get \$1000 dollars from you that would presumably get paid to the tow company. And after failing, they offered to just basically to just leave after getting this tire back. I am not disputing that a tow truck company was called. We can even take the position that you did not want or ask for the tow and certainly did not agree to a \$1,000 bill.
- 7) You will need to clarify what you are saying I said about the tow company. Their business registration is a public record. I have the business filings for B&T and Mountain Highway Towing. The "governor" of B&T is listed as Brandon Huntington. The governor of Mountain Towing is listed as "Amy Hendrickson."
- 8) I am fine with working on a timeline.

Ultimately, this is where we are at. Again, I do not believe that the videos or 911 calls are altered. We will see what metadata they provide. The reality is that the government warehouses all this information. Tampering with evidence is a crime, public records act violations have led to large payouts (although this would be a civil claim), perjury is a crime, making a false statement and false reporting are crimes. There are also other civil torts that are available. To be clear, I am not a prosecutor and have no ability to charge crimes. The judge also has no ability to charge crimes. The only people who can charge a felony are prosecutors. So, the entire system in that regard, is based in trust on prosecutors and governments to do the right thing and not lie and not tamper with, or destroy, evidence. Certainly, despite that there are situations where they have destroyed evidence or set people up. I just do not

see that in this case. In those cases, it is usually a rogue individual or several people, typically police, working outside the confines of the law and trying to cover it up. It is not generally a multi-agency coverup, which in this case would need to involve, at a minimum, multiple police, a person or persons who can edit the videos, Rivercom, also someone who can edit the data there, and the tow truck company. They would need to all be willing to lie and put their careers and freedom (as these would all be clearly criminal acts) at risk in order to extort you for a \$1,000 tow bill. I really just do not see that happening. Also, despite the willingness to openly tamper with multiple forms of evidence, they did not bother to actually clearly frame you for any crime. The evidence at trial regarding the alleged threats themselves will have to come from their testimony.

If, in fact, they are editing and deleting data, it seems unlikely they will preserve and send evidence that they are doing that. They clearly would not care about some court order if they do not care about laws already.

I am not sure what you are saying is complicated about the Rivercom 911 public records request process. It does require making an account if you do it online: [https://rivercom911.govqa.us/WEBAPP/_rs/\(S\(3331cc1zbinheja0eInymhqi\)\)/supporhome.aspx](https://rivercom911.govqa.us/WEBAPP/_rs/(S(3331cc1zbinheja0eInymhqi))/supporhome.aspx)

You can also submit a paper request: https://rivercom911.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/request_for_public_records.pdf

I assume you could also just e-mail them and use the same information as in the paper request (although I have only tried the other two ways): publicdisclosure@rivercom911.org

Despite your belief, the videos on the dropbox are, in fact, the exact same that we watched together. They are both continuous videos. The cop talks to the tow people at roughly 41 minutes into the video with Adam Musgrove in the title (front facing version). The discussion between you and them where they say they do not care about the bill any further is in the cell phone videos as well.

Like you, my time is limited, and I do not have time to chase down every theory that someone has. If you want to focus on a timeline, based on actual evidence that we have, that is fine and we can do that.

Again, as I see it, the possible defenses are (1) no threat was made at all, (2) you said something that was misconstrued as a gun or shooting someone, (3) you did say it and it was in defense of your car, (4) you did say it and it was just blowing off steam (trying to argue not a true threat), and (5) you did say it but it was not perceived by them as an actual threat (a different version of not a true threat). If the case goes to trial, this will need to get figured out unless you go a different direction.

Those options again are (1) you can represent yourself with stand-by counsel, (2) you can represent yourself without stand-by counsel, (3) you can ask for a new attorney.

If I remain on the case, I am going to treat it like any other case and pursue the defense that I think have an actual chance of succeeding based off the evidence that we have and the law as it is written and defined by case law. As I am appointed on the case, I cannot simply withdraw from the case and the option of how to proceed given those three options is up to you. I can request a court hearing for

next week if you want to request new counsel or to represent yourself.

From: Ryan Hell <ryanbrooklyn2020@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2025 4:55 PM

To: Sean Esworthy <sean@wenatcheelaw.com>

Subject: Re: Please file for reconsideration on my preservation motion

I've asked you to preserve evidence regarding the following:

1.) The names and employers of both Jeremiah and his spouse or whatever; who we all know both worked for rivercom 911. This is a relevant detail and all we confirmed in casual oral dialogue was the fact that Jeremiah worked for rivercom.

2.) the cad files been altered. While it distinguishes the original purpose for my call being to get EMERGENCY MEDICAL ASSISTANCE for my passenger and myself; and it declares her as exhibiting signs of insulin shock: it doesn't contain any of the original convo which I recall distinctly and painfully; since the female dispatcher who I spoke to had flatly told me she refused to provide us with aid car ambulance medical help or fire response. I begged her and she persisted to refuse.

She instead began asking me about my truck, the engine and whether it was 4 wheel drive and if it was PAID FOR. How many miles does it have. The nature of these details is intrinsically EXCULPATORY. and therefor absolute Brady related potential .

The dispatcher lied to me saying "the only option we have to save my passengers life is to allow a deputy to pick us up, to which I consented without hesitation. She then told me she could only locate a "city manager and orchard maintenance director" to come to our emergency aid. She said she could have them drive us out of the canyon and Anand car would either meet us there or at their personal home. I asked if that's our only option she said yes.

That information is also extremely relevant because it provides the jury context and illustrates the true nature of the emergency and my panic as my passenger was dying and I was now suffering with severe heat exhaustion and some symptoms of a stroke which I have had in recent years.

Regarding the push back from counsel.

If you are refusing to represent me in a fashion described above; you need to explain that on the record to our judge then ask him to replace me.

I have been very patient and proactive throughout this case. I am being lied to then gas lighted and ridiculed like for one small example; when you agree prior to rework my motion and submit it then show up to court, mumble so badly I had to ask the judge to stop you and ask you to speak clearly. That is a piece of judicial unsure in and of itself which will be inspected later. But the matter at hand here and now is you spoke slower than normal based on our experience together, you rambled about me and provided character assassination in the way of calling me paranoid and claiming I was trying to establish proof of Jeremiah being friends with the deputy. That was an outright lie and you are absolutely aware of that. As a lawyer you didn't mix that up and we have talked about that detail and I proactively dismissed then detail as a non legal problem for their prosecution, and I even said I know a jury won't judge that.

Some background

I worked as a commercial construction superintendent on the east coast in a greater metro complex of over 14 million people. The primary territory I worked was skirted by nearly 2000 municipal jurisdictions in Pennsylvania jersey Maryland Delaware. Those townships are about the size of Malaga. I've fought bitter battles and learned life lessons with small towns and I'm very familiar and seen a lot worse nepotism and so forth than Jeremiah being buddies with a sheriff. I've had inspectors who were married to hiway departments where they shut down multimillion dollar jobs because I wouldn't pay bribes or break the law. The minute I went to the township I met the grandma who was their clerk, the dad who was the mayor and so on and on. So please give me the benefit of the doubt that I'm not your average idiot. Slow. But not inexperienced and unfamiliar.

You knew that I had a narrow scope of needs and provided a reason for those as well. You skipped over it completely refusing to read note from it then either insulted me or slandered me by falsely claiming I had some wacky conspiracy concern about relations amongst the different county workers.

As far as a conspiracy; let's define what a conspiracy is in case you forgot amidst your use of the word to belittle or destroy a man who isn't just innocent; but was attempting to save a DISABLED woman's life; only to be kidnapped extorted assaulted and lied about.

CONSPIRACY:

"a secret plan by a group to do something unlawful or harmful".

To say that this 911 emergency medical call was not a conspiracy is ridiculous. At this point we have the following evidence which is indisputable.

- 1.) I was a law abiding citizen in a licensed vehicle enjoying a public park on a holiday and not violating any laws or rules.
- 2) after my vehicle was disabled I attempted to make it back then became fully stuck and we were without cell service and were only able to call 911
- 3) my passengers disability and evolving medical conditions were suffice to warrant PANIC from me which would be evident in the original 911 call where I begged screamed and cried to not let her die. Keep in mind I only know this lady as a casual acquaintance and help her with her dogs etc. I was hysterical as the dispatcher laughed literally laughed and said sorry no ambulance will come.
- 4) the act of telling us there were no ambulances and that our only option was to allow people to pick us up and drive us to their home: was a trick. On maps it's apparent they drove to a house the opposite direction of the hospital. I asked why we were going the wrong way and said why can't you take us to the hospital they lied then saying an ambulance was waiting at the house then lied saying they made a mistake. But it was coming back. This lie was a trick to get us inside their property. Which was remotely isolated and outside of cellular services and too far to go for help. This constitutes as kidnapping. Laugh as you do all you want, but what's my crime up until now?
- 5) the act of separating is then ambushing me with a tow truck is a act of deceit as well. I never contacted any tow truck. I never requested any tow truck. I never asked for repairs and I never accepted any repairs. There was no tow. There was no impound. The vehicle was released. I asked my passenger how did they get it down the road with two flats? She said "they put your spare back on and they put air in it.". So all these repairs and roadside you guys keep mentioning I requested and needed: it's an outright lie. And it's probable because you sent the vehicle off that same night with no work or parts other than simply adding air to the tire. Which I knew and which I explained to the dispatcher and Jeremiah MULTIPLE times as they kept trying to weasel me into consent. I'm not an idiot. The tire was flat and I pulled it and put my flat spare on. That's the wheel I drove 20 miles on and destroyed the tire and the wheel before getting stuck. I did that because the alloy is an expensive wheel and harder to find as ford makes a ton of these.

Your tow driver in the red roll back from Cashmere wa, was FROM BT TOWING CASHMERE, BY THE WAY, NOT STANS MOUNTAIN VIEW as indicated in the court documents!! CHECK the VIDEOS AND MY PHOTOS! You guys are LYING about the tow company involved even. I use the intentional and inflammatory term LYING because there's everyone's oops accidentally just mixed up the name of the very tow company involved in this fabricated impound bill dispute and subsequent fabrication of charges claiming some type of assorted threats! Let's get that clarified also and on the record please and as soon as possible.

Incorrect tow company names in reports:

What you are doing is contributing to the obstruction of justice. Because you knew I never said that. I provided the ai generated brief as a starting poker and I liked the items from a high level. I need to preserve this evidence and I mentioned multiple times I cannot otherwise obtain the evidence as in say, a records request from the dispatcher agency rivercom or other. For multiple reasons you are aware of and I'll list a couple for this record:

- 1:) I have a felony superior court no contact order prohibiting contact with TWO employees at that agency. I can't be sure either will not field any request I make.
- 2:) I have exceeded the new time limits thanks to an expansive new addition to their records request policy link: all of

which was created just days after this alleged crime. That can be easily verified by checking the way back machine internet snapshot for rivercom's webpage in records request. It's like it didn't even exist almost then bam all sorts of red tape.

3:) I can't afford to and I am exhausted of resources including time due to the lengthy drawn out procedure of proving my innocence for the matter in which we are tasked to defend myself

Improper place of arrest indicated by deputy:

The deputy claimed something about he picked me up near the bridge. I can't see a bridge anywhere close to the residence this occurs at. I had refused to leave and only went to the edge of the property after the woman in the red Tacoma 4x4 fled the scene upon their orders. I walked less than 60 feet from the gate and I seen them approaching at a pace of about 10 miles an hour and they almost were appearing as if unsure. I knew that the disagrees called in on me so I assumed the officers may be jumpy and I initiated the non threatening action of raising my hands and calmly indicating my name. They calmly asked me to move to place on the road which was out of the view of their dash cams and they then started the escalation of force without provocation, threatening to shoot and even falsely claiming I was holding a pistol and then discussing the shot and who would fire first . Again; all I did was call 911 to save a woman's life. Then refused to pay cash to a tow operator for repairs I never needed and never asked for. I was beaten threatened and then falsely accused and arrested after nearly being shot in cold blood by co-conspirators. Because their force was so excessive and unjustified that they could only be acting in conjunction with the bad actors who originated the call to risk such a significant and egregious violation of use of doze policy. But that's not the center of your portion of the crime that will be for a civil lawyer. However; the incorrect location of arrest can be used to alter the timeline as from my understanding there is about a 30 minute jog at the least, needed to get near any bridge. And the implication of such a trip would be altering the context and issues which I am exhaustively trying to outline as exculpatory evidence for your judge and prosecutor and yourself. Anyhow timeline is critical and this is not a minor altercation of timeline please address and clarify the physical location of custody at what spot did deputies meet me.

And most of all the entire narrative for what it's worth; is also a MASSIVE LIE. You already let the video disappear of the deputy being instructed there. Was no tow bill and no impound and I owed him NOTHING. So now let's just say there is no supporting document or evidence of any form of outstanding financial obligation to the tow driver or any others. In fact the very vehicle in question was surrendered and handed over to my passenger upon verbal authorization by the deputy at the back seat of his patrol car where I sat. I consented after he asked me if she can take my truck home. "Absolutely yes, I said. And thank you". They drove her to the parking ride I am told them she drove over the pass. After simply putting air in the tire. So you don't just have a lot of holes in your story and chain of evidence; you have a ton of ethics and civil violations and ongoing harm to me and my pass
Get after nearly killing us in a completely IDIOTIC attempt to make a meager 1000 dollars. I personally suspect that wasn't the motive to mess with us. But I am not sharing more on that. Although it did strike an eri semblance to a group of three young girls who were found dead with plastic bags over their heads and ZIP TIES on their wrist... and the father who is blamed is still supposedly at large with no track. I am going to say sincerely that I prey your jurisdiction isn't involved in any wrong doing there but the malfeasance demonstrated in Malaga on July 4th and still now sure is pure and I mean absolutely unadulterated EVIL, sick and evil to the bone what was done to us. And it's a horrible level of collusion being openly demonstrated to cover this all up. Changing policy, hiding evidence, assigning ineffective legal counsel. They sure seem to me like innocent passengers, of a guy who was down on his luck BUT NOT breaking any laws and simply chose to enjoy a summer trip in a CHELAN county park. I can only imagine what might have occurred and I try not to. I know nothing and it's pure speculation and I'm sorry but we were kidnapped and denied emergency medical after dialing 911 for a ambulance for dying disabled woman. They tried to extort me for unsolicited unneeded repairs and then tried to order me to leave the property and leave my truck presumably for a abandoned vehicle claim which only takes 15 days.

They altered 911 call data. They fabricated a narrative without any substance then assigned me multiple attorneys who refused to provide evidence in a timely manner then refused to preserve evidence.

It's frustrating but hey. I guess that's why people get paid millions of dollars for corruption cases like this.

-Ryan Hell

On Thu, Jun 26, 2025 at 3:27 PM Sean Esworthy <sean@wenatcheelaw.com> wrote:

If you want to put information in front of the court, we would need a declaration from you or someone else. Although, as I have previously indicated, it is usually advisable at this point to remain silent and

not make any statements regarding the incident itself. However, as you seem to want to anyhow, it is ultimately your choice to remain silent or present your beliefs to the court.

If you want to file LLM generated motions, that is fine, but I am not going to sign off on them or endorse them. I would note as a word of caution that using LLM generated information which is false, especially citations, can be sanctionable.

I've asked the investigator to submit some a request to Rivercom for whatever triage system they use, if they have one at all. Also, for employment of Jana Johnson by Rivercom.

The CAD log on the initial call from you indicates that Kalah McCurdy was the calltaker.

The second CAD log indicates that there are several calltakers involved: Heidi Harvill, Cayla Rogers, Carleigh Smith, and Dee Gutierrez.

However, it could in fact be the case that the response to the initial call was improper and EMS should have been sent. I agree that it is also unusual for an off-duty dispatcher to take people to their property. I am not sure how exactly that will fit in the criminal allegation of whether there was a threat to get a gun if they took the truck unless the idea is to try and say they and the tow company fabricated the threat because they were trying to coverup the 911 call or something like that. As it stands, this seems like a relatively weak connection and requires that the tow company be "in on it" as well since the alleged threat is actually in relation to the tow and not the 911 call. An argument could be made that they get a lot of business from Rivercom or something to that effect, but it still seems shaky.

The state is going to have a much more straight-forward and buyable narrative. They may even acknowledge that they should have sent EMS and that it is unorthodox to take a person to a 911 dispatcher's property. I have a feeling, which we may uncover at the interview, that he will, in fact, concede that.

The bottom line, again, is if the case ends up going to trial, the jury will need to decide if the state has proven beyond a reasonable doubt that you made a threat to kill as defined in the law. If there is some actual evidence of evidence tampering prior to that, that would be a big deal. But you will also need to keep in mind that there are hours of video for every case these days, and virtually no one but you is claiming they are faked. They are not even faked in a way to frame you for a crime and they kept in the wife's own threats. Everyone, including the judge or jury, is likely to be extremely skeptical and think it is just searching for a conspiracy that does not exist.

If that is the only defense there is at trial, I will run with it, but I still do not think a jury is going to buy it.

At that point, I would still probably fall back to the legal defenses (not a true threat) based off their seeming non-fear, statements during, statements after, etc.

From: Ryan Hell <ryanbrooklyn2020@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2025 10:00 AM

To: Sean Esworthy <sean@wenatcheelaw.com>

Subject: Please file for reconsideration on my preservation motion

Sean, good afternoon

This email is for you only; do not file or disclose to anyone else

I need you to file for a reconsideration for the preservation motion using addendum you didn't read last time. I would like you to please file for a reconsideration on my motion for preservation that we had filed after some time to think about it and talk to some people and read some stuff about it. It looks like I have an ability to file for a reconsideration on a matter.

I think we would be trying to reconsider, and I'm hoping that the motion addendum that I had sent to you that you didn't read in a courtroom I would like to get that information in front of the judge and on the record to bring light to the to the to the Motion so that there's some background and he can understand what's going on

I also need to know what my next court date is and if that's a Zoom appearance or not

I realized in court at that motion, hearing for preservation that we just had also that there was a confirmation of the employment status of one of the victims Jeremiah working for the 91 dispatch company. I would like to press that we get it on the record who the spouse works for as well I think she is of the most important and that's for two reasons.

that she was also a dispatcher. This is an actual is that she was also a dispatcher. This is an actual rivercom operation to pick me up and take me to that house THE OPPOSITE DIRECTION OF THE HOSPITAL which was unusual and unorthodox.

The other reason is is that she was the one fielding the calls I made. THE VICTIM INTENTIONALLY CREATED HER SITUATION. She knew I needed an ambulance

When I had watched the videos in your office I seen strong indication that she had been taking the calls all along. I showed other video analyst they also said as well that they believe that my phone calls were being fielded by either Jeremiah or his wife throughout this ordeal.

That is a startling revelation to me myself, and it really creates a real sketchy situation when somebody's trying to achieve an emergency medical assistance for a dying person (she was in fact dying) and myself also in a medical declared emergency for a possible stroke as I declared in 911.